Pharmacophore Mapping and Virtual Screening for SIRT1 Activators
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Sirtuin belongs to the class III histone deacetylase (HDAC) family. 1 This HDACs are classified into four different classes: class I contains HDACs 1-3 and 8, class II contains HDACs 4-7, 9 and 10, class III contains sirtuins and class IV contains HDAC 11. Several reports support that sirtuins are NAD(+)-dependent histone deacetylase proteins. 2-4 To date, in human seven types of sirtuins have been identified and they all shares the same catalytic domain but differ in N- and C-terminals. SIRT1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 are NAD-dependent deacetylases, SIRT4 and 6 are ADP-ribosyltransferases. 5,6 In addition, SIRT7 is involved in both ADP-ribosyltransferase and rDNA transcription. 7 Sirtuins play a major role in deacetylation that couples lysine deacetylation to NAD+ hydrolysis to produce the deacetylated substrate O-acetyl-ADP-ribose and nicotine amide. 3 SIRT1 plays a vital role in insulin signaling and glucose metabolism thus it is an excellent target to treat type II diabetes, obesity and metabolic syndrome by enhancing its function. 8,9 Except SIRT1, all other sirtuins (SIRT2-7) consist of approximately 400 amino acids, whereas SIRT1 consists of 744 amino acids. It composed of two domains, namely, Rossman fold (large) domain and zinc binding (small) domain. NAD is a co-factor for sirtuins where it binds to the cleft between the two domains (large and small domain), immediately adjacent to the acetylated peptide binding tunnel. 10 The N-terminal amino acids G183-I225 play a critical role to enhance the activity. 11,12 Sirtuins are vastly expressed in several adult tissues such as brain, heart and skeletal muscle. SIRT1 is known to interact with several important transcription factors like p53, p65 (NF-kB), MyoD and regulates their activities. 13 Therefore, sirtuins are crucial targets to design activators and inhibitors. There are two potential conceptual modes for activating sirtuins: direct and allosteric methods. 14 In this work, we mainly focus on allosteric method to design activator molecules for SIRT1. Some activators have been reported, e.g., resveratrol, fisetin and butein, but all these compounds are plant polyphenols. 15,16 Resveratrol is a natural substrate, which can be found in red wine and activates SIRT1 and extends lifespan of mammal. 17,18

The aim of this study is to generate a pharmacophore model based on common features of molecules, which could enhance the SIRT1 activity. It was achieved using HipHop module from the CATALYST software. 19 The constructed pharmacophore model has been used for screening the large database to identify the new molecules that are presumably able to activate SIRT1. We found that our four feature pharmacophore model (Hypo2) screened large number of highly active molecules of SIRT1 activators from the test set. Among these four features in Hypo2, the ring aromatic and the positive ionization features appear to play a vital role for the molecules to be a SIRT1 activator. Virtual screening revealed seven molecules (two scaffolds) with all the required critical features.

Introduction

Sirtuin is a class III histone deacetylase (HDAC) family. 1 This HDACs are classified into four different classes: class I contains HDACs 1-3 and 8, class II contains HDACs 4-7, 9 and 10, class III contains sirtuins and class IV contains HDAC 11. Several reports support that sirtuins are NAD(+)-dependent histone deacetylase proteins. 2-4 To date, in human seven types of sirtuins have been identified and they all share the same catalytic domain but differ in N- and C-terminals. SIRT1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 are NAD-dependent deacetylases, SIRT4 and 6 are ADP-ribosyltransferases. 5,6 In addition, SIRT7 is involved in both ADP-ribosyltransferase and rDNA transcription. 7 Sirtuins play a major role in deacetylation that couples lysine deacetylation to NAD+ hydrolysis to produce the deacetylated substrate O-acetyl-ADP-ribose and nicotinamide. 3 SIRT1 plays a vital role in insulin signaling and glucose metabolism thus it is an excellent target to treat type II diabetes, obesity and metabolic syndrome by enhancing its function. 8,9 Except SIRT1, all other sirtuins (SIRT2-7) consist of approximately 400 amino acids, whereas SIRT1 consists of 744 amino acids. It composed of two domains, namely, Rossman fold (large) domain and zinc binding (small) domain. NAD is a co-factor for sirtuins where it binds to the cleft between the two domains (large and small domain), immediately adjacent to the acetylated peptide binding tunnel. 10 The N-terminal amino acids G183-I225 play a critical role to enhance the activity. 11,12 Sirtuins are vastly expressed in several adult tissues such as brain, heart and skeletal muscle. SIRT1 is known to interact with several important transcription factors like p53, p65 (NF-kB), MyoD and regulates their activities. 13 Therefore, sirtuins are crucial targets to design activators and inhibitors. There are two potential conceptual modes for activating sirtuins: direct and allosteric methods. 14 In this work, we mainly focus on allosteric method to design activator molecules for SIRT1. Some activators have been reported, e.g., resveratrol, fisetin and butein, but all these compounds are plant polyphenols. 15,16 Resveratrol is a natural substrate, which can be found in red wine and activates SIRT1 and extends lifespan of mammal. 17,18

The aim of this study is to generate a pharmacophore model based on common features of molecules, which could enhance the SIRT1 activity. It was achieved using HipHop module from the CATALYST software. 19 The constructed pharmacophore model has been used for screening the large database to identify the new molecules that are presumably able to activate SIRT1. We found that our four feature pharmacophore model (Hypo2) screened large number of highly active molecules of SIRT1 activators from the test set. Among these four features in Hypo2, the ring aromatic and the positive ionization features appear to play a vital role for the molecules to be a SIRT1 activator. Virtual screening revealed seven molecules (two scaffolds) with all the required critical features.
Methods

Pharmacophore Modeling. Pharmacophore modeling is one of the most powerful techniques to classify and identify key features from a group of molecules such as active and inactive compounds. Chemical features in the hypothesis or pharmacophore model will furnish a new insight to design novel molecules that can enhance or inhibit the function of the target and will be useful in drug discovery strategies. HipHop module from CATALYST software was used to develop pharmacophore models. To date, there is no three-dimensional structural information for SIRT1, especially for the allosteric site. Therefore the common feature pharmacophore modeling method was adopted to predict the potency of SIRT1 activators.

In order to generate a pharmacophore model, three molecules were taken from Sirtris Pharmaceuticals as a training set (Fig. 1). All compounds were built using ISIS draw and minimized using CATALYST software to the closest local minimum by applying the Charmm-like force field. Maximum number of 250 conformers were generated utilizing the best conformational analysis with an energy threshold of 20 kcal/mol above minimum global energy value.

Different types of chemical features for each molecule in the training set were examined using feature dictionary from CATALYST software. By consolidating all the available features, six common features were used to generate the hypothesis: hydrogen-bond acceptor (HBA), hydrogen-bond donor (HBD), hydrophobe (H), hydrophobe aromatic (Z), ring aromatic (RA) and positive ionization (P). The training set was taken for HipHop module to generate the common feature hypothesis. Five different hypotheses were generated (Table 1) with different combination of the above features. These five hypotheses were validated using the test set which includes 607 molecules having a wide range of activity values. Based on the activity values the test set molecules were divided into four different sets. The hypothesis that screened high number of molecules from the active set and low number of molecules from the inactive set are considered to be the best hypothesis to screen SIRT1 activators.

Database Search for New Hits. In order to find novel molecules, which include all the desired chemical features to activate SIRT1, the best hypothesis model, Hypo2 was used to screen Maybridge database available in CATALYST software. The Best Flexible Search Database/Spread Sheets method in CATALYST was used for the database search to find out similarly featured molecules. The hit molecules from Maybridge were further refined by calculating the solubility, absorption, and Lipinski’s rule-of-five to avoid false positives. In order to validate the good quality of our hypothesis, we screened the compounds that are related to our chemical features and the resultant molecules were superimposed with the training set molecules.

Result and Discussion

Training Set and Test Set Construction. Three molecules were taken from Sirtris Pharmaceuticals as a training set to generate a series of pharmacophore models (Fig. 1). In order to evaluate and validate the generated pharmacophore models a test set was prepared and cross validated. The 607 molecules, which are structurally different from the training set were selected to prepare the test set. We sorted the test set molecules into four different sets depending on the activity data. They are active (A), moderate (M), least active (LA) and inactive (IA) set. Active set contains 176 most active compounds within the range of 0-5 μM, the moderate set contains 191 moderately active compounds within the range of 5-50 μM, the least active set contains 58 least active molecules within the range of 150-200 μM and the rest 182 inactive molecules are classified as an inactive set.

Evaluation of Hypotheses. In this study, we have generated

Table 1. Chemical feature compositions for the five hypotheses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No. of Features</th>
<th>HBA</th>
<th>HBD</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypo1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 1. Standard molecules for training set. Chemical structures of three molecules from Sirtris Pharmaceutical which are used as training set (SRT1720, SRT1460 and SRT2183). Resveratrol is a natural substrate for sirtuin1 activator.
Table 2. The numbers of molecules screened by each hypothesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacophore</th>
<th>A set (176)</th>
<th>M set (191)</th>
<th>LA set (58)</th>
<th>IA set (182)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypo1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


A five different hypotheses (Table 1) based on the training set. All the five hypotheses were generated using HipHop module from CATALYST software. The test set is used to examine the pharmacophore model. The test set contains 607 structurally distinct molecules from the training set, which were prepared adopting the same protocol as the training set molecules were prepared. The best hypothesis was selected depending on the number of the molecules, which were screened from the four different sets constructed based on the activity values of the test set molecules (Table 2).

The Hypo1 screened 20 molecules out of 176 molecules from A set, 17 molecules out of 191 molecules from M set, 6 molecules out of 58 molecules from LA set and 7 molecules out of 182 molecules from IA set. The highest numbers of molecules were screened from A and M set (Fig. 2). To identify the essential features and to increase the quality of the pharmacophore, we refined this hypothesis by adding and deleting some of the features like RA, P, Z and HBD. In the first modification, HBD group was obliterated and two features, one RA and one P are added in Hypo2 (Fig. 3). It screened 47 molecules out of 176 molecules from A set, 45 molecules out of 191 molecules from M set, 5 molecules out of 58 molecules from LA set and 10 molecules out of 182 molecules from IA set. After the modification of these two features, RA and P, Hypo2 screened high quantity of molecules from A set. Comparison of Hypo1 and Hypo2 revealed that hypo2 screened more number of molecules from A and M set and at the same time it screened less number of molecules from LA and IA set. Based on this comparison result, we speculate that RA and P features are essential for SIRT1 activity. In order to find which group (RA or P) play a crucial role we further refined Hypo2.

In the next modification, HBD and the H groups are included and obliterated RA group in Hypo3. The hypothesis screened 5 molecules out of 176 molecules from A set and 1 molecule out of 191 molecules from M set but it failed to screen any molecules from both LA and IA sets (Fig. 3). Hypo3 screened only small number of molecules (3%) from A set but it could not screen any molecules from IA set. The comparison of Hypo3 with Hypo1 and Hypo2 showed that the percentage of the molecules screened from the A set was relatively very small. From this observation we suggest that the HBD group may not play any important role in SIRT1 activator.

In the third modification, instead of P and RA features, Z and H features are included in Hypo4 (Fig. 3). This hypothesis screened 2 molecules from M set but it screened the minimum number of molecules (0.5%) from A, LA and IA sets. As a...
than 5, number of molecules will be well absorbed when they possess LogP less than 10, number of rotatable bonds and molecular weight less than 500 and number of the rotatable bonds not exceeding 10. The number of rotatable bonds were considered to reduce the flexibility of the molecules. These are the important criteria to screen a good oral bioavailability drug. Finally seven molecules have satisfied all the above criteria.

The final hit molecules were superimposed with the training set molecules for structural comparison (Fig. 4). Although they have different scaffolds when compared to the training set molecules, those scaffolds fulfilled all the critical chemical features presented in Hypo2. Based on the structural comparison, the final hit molecules were clustered into two groups. One group contains two molecules and this shows similarity with the SIRT1720 (Fig. 4A) and the other group contains five molecules shows similarity with resveratrol (Fig. 4B). First scaffold (CD02704) is similar to SRT1720, where the center phenyl and imidazothezole rings were replaced by isothiazole and phenyl present in SRT1720. In the second scaffold (HTS-01501), the two benzene rings in resveratrol were replaced by benzimidazole.

In SRT1720, the carbonyl and the thio group of imadazolehexazole mapped the two HBA groups and the RA and $p$ mapped quinoxaline and piperrazine groups, respectively. CD02704 showed the two HBA’s were present in isoxazole and in linker nitrogen, $P$ was present in pyrrolidine ring and the RA group was present in chlorobenzene. In HTS01501, the imidoformamide group was present in both sides of the molecule as hydroxyl group in resveratrol. The imidoformamide groups present in HTS01501 act as P as well as HBA features. The RA group was present in the benzimidazole. The HBA group maps the nitrogen group present in the imidazole.

Two new scaffolds were identified as SIRT1 activators (Fig. 5). These two scaffolds satisfied all the critical features in Hypo2 and they may be useful to activate SIRT1, which can be the best strategy to treat the age related diseases.
Conclusions

A set of 3D ligand-based pharmacophore model was generated using HipHop module from CATALYST to discover the essential features of ligand, which are invaluable to examine the potential lead for SIRT1 activation. Due to the lack of 3D structural information of SIRT1, protein-based pharmacophore modeling strategy could not be used for this study. Till date, there is no template for the allosteric site of SIRT1 in Protein Data Bank (www.rcsb.org). It hindered the elucidation of homology modeling and structure based pharmacophore studies for SIRT1 activators. Therefore, to identify the critical features of the SIRT1 activators, ligand based pharmacophore model was used to develop the five different hypotheses. Among these five hypotheses Hypo2 (two HBA, one RA and one P) screened a good number of molecules from A set. All other hypotheses screened the molecules from A set, however the number of molecules screened was too small. On the other hand, most of the molecules from IA and LA sets were failed to pass this hypothesis.

From this study, we propose that the four feature pharmacophore, Hypo2 is a good hypothesis to screen SIRT1 activators. The best model, Hypo2 was used to screen the database in order to find out novel molecules. Totally 57 molecules were screened from the database and these molecules were further filtered using the ADMET properties and Lipinski’s rule of five. Seven molecules were passed all the screening strategies. Among these seven molecules, two new scaffolds were identified, which satisfied all the chemical features in Hypo2 hypothesis. These two scaffolds showed similarity in structure with resveratrol and SIRT1T200 molecules.

Hypo2 screened 27% of molecules from A set and 6% from IA set. Thus, we confirm that RA and P features are essential for the SIRT1 activators. This pharmacophore model provides key features and their relative distances, angles and geometric parameters that are important for a molecule to enhance SIRT1 activity. Glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity in the key metabolic tissues including liver, muscle and fat were improved by SIRT1 activators. Subjecting these molecules with resveratrol and SRT1720 molecules. These molecules from IA and LA sets were failed to pass this hypothesis.
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